SEND

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

CV 06 - 6796 ODW (PLAx)	Da	ate January 2, 2008
Ledford v. City of Inglewood	l	
The Honorable Otis D. Wrigh	nt II, United States District Ju	udge
<u> </u>		n/a
Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter	Tape No.
orneys Present for Plaintiff(s):	Attorneys Present for Defendant(s):	
Not Present	Not Present	
igs: (In chambers)	Order DENYING Defend Partial Reconsideration	lants' Motion for
Judge Abrams' November 27, 2 Having considered both the partitle November 27, 2007 order, all argument. See Fed. R. Civ. P. Endants' Motion is DENIED. The an attempt to alleviate some of will be made in camera. Thus, are ordered to produce, in camera and only for the date of the court will determine the court will be court will determine the court will determine the court will be court will	2007 Order re Plaintiffs' Morpers filed in support of and of the Court deemed this matter. 78; Local Rule 7-15. This decision, however, is not Defendants' concerns, production within two weeks of the date era, all pre and post-incident ates specified in the Magistra ermine which reports are suf-	tion to Compel opposition to the instant or appropriate for decision as as broad as it may action of the requested e of this Order, a personnel reports of the ate Judge's November 27, afficiently relevant and
	The Honorable Otis D. Wright aymond Neal Present for Plaintiff(s): Not Present See The Court is Defendants and Considered both the path the November 27, 2007 order, argument. See Fed. R. Civ. Pandants' Motion is DENIED. The an attempt to alleviate some of will be made in camera. Thus, are ordered to produce, in camendants only and only for the dated to the underlying case and to Plaintiffs.	The Honorable Otis D. Wright II, United States District Junymond Neal Peputy Clerk Not Present Personation Not Present Order DENYING Defend Partial Reconsideration Partial Reconsideration Defore the Court is Defendants' Motion for Partial Rule 72 Judge Abrams' November 27, 2007 Order re Plaintiffs' Mothaving considered both the papers filed in support of and of the November 27, 2007 order, the Court deemed this matter argument. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 78; Local Rule 7-15. Indants' Motion is DENIED. This decision, however, is not an attempt to alleviate some of Defendants' concerns, produce will be made in camera. Thus, within two weeks of the data are ordered to produce, in camera, all pre and post-incident and ants only and only for the dates specified in the Magistra From there, the Court will determine which reports are suffated to the underlying case and will at that point turn over the plaintiffs.